

1 THE DECKARD LAW FIRM
2 Diane C. Deckard, Esq. (SBN 99632)
3 96 North Third St., Ste. 350
4 San Jose, CA 95112
5 Telephone: (408) 971-4359
6 Facsimile: (408) 971-4357
7
8 Attorney for Plaintiff Ecast Inc.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ECAST, INC.
vs.
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP;
GERALD P. DODSON, ESQ.,
ERICA D. WILSON, ESQ., and DOES 1
through 100, inclusive,
Defendants.

MORRISON & FOESTER, LLP; GERALD
P. DODSON, ESQ., ERICA D. WILSON,
ESQ.,
Counter-Complainants,
vs.
ECAST, INC.
Counter-Defendant.

CASE NO.: CV-09-3001
STIPULATION ENLARGING TIME
Civil Local Rule 6-1(a) ; ORDER

WHEREAS DEFENDANTS MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP; GERALD P. DODSON,
ESQ.; and ERICA D. WILSON, ESQ. filed their Counterclaim against PLAINTIFF ECAST INC. on
July 2, 2009;

1 WHEREAS an answer or other responsive pleadings was due to be filed by ECAST INC. on
2 or before July 22, 2009;

3 NOW THEREFORE, the Plaintiff and Defendants stipulate pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6-
4 1(a) that the Plaintiff shall have fourteen (14) additional days to file an answer or other responsive
5 pleadings, making that response due by August 5, 2009.

6
7 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

8
9 DURIE TANGRI PAGE LEMLEY
10 ROBERTS & KENT LLP


11 Dated: 22 July 2009

12 By: 

13 Ragesh K. Tangri
14 Attorney for Defendants
15 Morrison & Foerster, LLP; Gerald P. Dodson,
16 Esq.; and Erica D. Wilson, Esq.

17 THE DECKARD LAW FIRM


18 Dated: July 23, 2009

19 By: 

20 Diane C. Deckard
21 Attorney for Plaintiff
22 Ecast Inc.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED:

24 _____
25 Edward M. Chen
26 U.S. Magistrate
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED

Judge Edward M. Chen

